# Supersymmetry and DLCQ Limit of Lie 3-algebra Model of M-theory

#### Matsuo Sato<sup>1</sup>

Department of Natural Science, Faculty of Education, Hirosaki University
Bunkyo-cho 1, Hirosaki, Aomori 036-8560, Japan

#### Abstract

In arXiv:1003.4694, we proposed two models of M-theory, Hermitian 3-algebra model and Lie 3-algebra model. In this paper, we study the Lie 3-algebra model with a Lorentzian Lie 3-algebra. This model is ghost-free despite the Lorentzian 3-algebra. We show that our model satisfies two criteria as a model of M-theory. First, we show that the model possesses  $\mathcal{N}=1$  supersymmetry in eleven dimensions. Second, we show the model reduces to BFSS matrix theory with finite size matrices in a DLCQ limit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> e-mail address: msato@cc.hirosaki-u.ac.jp

#### 1 Introduction

BFSS matrix theory [1] is one of the strong candidates of non-perturbative definition of superstring theory. It is conjectured to describe infinite momentum frame (IMF) limit of M-theory and many evidences were found. Because only D0-branes in type IIA superstring theory survive in this limit, BFSS matrix theory is defined by the one-dimensional maximally supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory. Since the theory is a gauge theory, a matrix representation is allowed and dynamics of a many-body system can be described by using diagonal blocks of matrices. However, it seems impossible to derive full dynamics of M-theory from BFSS matrix theory because it treats D0-branes as fundamental degrees of freedom. For example, we do not know the manner to describe longitudinal momentum transfer of D0-branes. Therefore, we need a matrix model that treats membranes as fundamental degrees of freedom in order to derive full dynamics of M-theory.

IIB matrix model [2] is also one of the strong candidates of non-perturbative definition of superstring theory. It starts with the Green-Schwartz type IIB superstring action in order to treat strings themselves as fundamental degrees of freedom. If we fix the  $\kappa$  symmetry to Schild gauge  $\theta_1 = \theta_2$ , the action reduces to that of the zero-dimensional maximal supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory with area preserving diffeomorphism (APD) symmetry. Since the resultant action is a gauge theory, it describes dynamics of many-body systems. IIB matrix model is defined by replacing the APD algebra with u(N) Lie algebra in the action.

In paper [3], we obtained matrix models of M-theory in an analogous way to obtain IIB matrix model. We started with the Green-Schwartz supermembrane action in order to obtain matrix models of M-theory that treat membranes themselves as fundamental degrees of freedom. We showed, by using an approximation, that the action reduces to that of a zero-dimensional gauge theory with volume preserving diffeomorphism (VPD) symmetry [4,5] if we fix the  $\kappa$  symmetry of the action to a semi-light-cone gauge,  $\Gamma_{012}\Psi = \Psi$ . We proposed two 3-algebra models of M-theory which are defined by replacing VPD algebra with finite-dimensional 3-algebras in the action. Because the 3-algebra models are gauge theories, they are expected to describe dynamics of many-body systems as in the other matrix models.

One of the two models is based on Hermitian 3-algebra [6–9] (Hermitian 3-algebra model), whereas the another is based on Lie 3-algebra [10–22] (Lie 3-algebra model). The Hermitian 3-algebra model with  $u(N) \oplus u(N)$  symmetry was shown to reduce to BFSS matrix theory

with finite size matrices when a DLCQ limit is taken in [3]. A supersymmetric deformation of the Lie 3-algebra model with the  $\mathcal{A}_4$  algebra was investigated by adding mass and flux terms in [23].

In this paper, we study the Lie 3-algebra model with a Lorentzian Lie 3-algebra. We show that this model satisfies two criterion as a model of M-theory. In section two, we show that the model possesses  $\mathcal{N}=1$  supersymmetry in eleven dimensions. In section three, we show the model reduces to BFSS matrix theory with finite size matrices in a DLCQ limit as it should do: it is generally shown that M-theory reduces to such BFSS matrix theory in a DLCQ limit [24–27].

# 2 $\mathcal{N} = 1$ Supersymmetry Algebra in Eleven Dimensions

In [3], we proposed the Lie 3-algebra model of M-theory, whose action is given by

$$S_{0} = \left\langle -\frac{1}{12} [X^{I}, X^{J}, X^{K}]^{2} - \frac{1}{2} (A_{\mu ab} [T^{a}, T^{b}, X^{I}])^{2} - \frac{1}{3} E^{\mu\nu\lambda} A_{\mu ab} A_{\nu cd} A_{\lambda ef} [T^{a}, T^{c}, T^{d}] [T^{b}, T^{e}, T^{f}] - \frac{i}{2} \bar{\Psi} \Gamma^{\mu} A_{\mu ab} [T^{a}, T^{b}, \Psi] + \frac{i}{4} \bar{\Psi} \Gamma_{IJ} [X^{I}, X^{J}, \Psi] \right\rangle.$$

$$(2.1)$$

The fields are spanned by Lie 3-algebra  $T^a$  as  $X^I = X^I_a T^a$ ,  $\Psi = \Psi_a T^a$  and  $A^\mu = A^\mu_{ab} T^a \otimes T^b$ , where  $I = 3, \dots, 10$  and  $\mu = 0, 1, 2$ . <> represents a metric for the 3-algebra.  $\Psi$  is a Majorana spinor of SO(1,10) that satisfies  $\Gamma_{012}\Psi = \Psi$ .  $E^{\mu\nu\lambda}$  is a Levi-Civita symbol in three-dimensions. In this section, we will show that this action possesses  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  supersymmetry in eleven-dimensions.

The action is invariant under 16 dynamical supersymmetry transformations,

$$\delta X^{I} = i\bar{\epsilon}\Gamma^{I}\Psi$$

$$\delta A_{\mu ab}[T^{a}, T^{b}, ] = i\bar{\epsilon}\Gamma_{\mu}\Gamma_{I}[X^{I}, \Psi, ]$$

$$\delta \Psi = -A_{\mu ab}[T^{a}, T^{b}, X^{I}]\Gamma^{\mu}\Gamma_{I}\epsilon - \frac{1}{6}[X^{I}, X^{J}, X^{K}]\Gamma_{IJK}\epsilon,$$
(2.2)

where  $\Gamma_{012}\epsilon = -\epsilon$ . These supersymmetries close into gauge transformations on-shell,

$$[\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}]X^{I} = \Lambda_{cd}[T^{c}, T^{d}, X^{I}]$$

$$[\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}]A_{\mu ab}[T^{a}, T^{b}, ] = \Lambda_{ab}[T^{a}, T^{b}, A_{\mu cd}[T^{c}, T^{d}, ]] - A_{\mu ab}[T^{a}, T^{b}, \Lambda_{cd}[T^{c}, T^{d}, ]] + 2i\bar{\epsilon}_{2}\Gamma^{\nu}\epsilon_{1}O_{\mu\nu}^{A}$$

$$[\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}]\Psi = \Lambda_{cd}[T^{c}, T^{d}, \Psi] + (i\bar{\epsilon}_{2}\Gamma^{\mu}\epsilon_{1}\Gamma_{\mu} - \frac{i}{4}\bar{\epsilon}_{2}\Gamma^{KL}\epsilon_{1}\Gamma_{KL})O^{\Psi}, \qquad (2.3)$$

where gauge parameters are given by  $\Lambda_{ab}=2i\bar{\epsilon}_2\Gamma^{\mu}\epsilon_1A_{\mu ab}-i\bar{\epsilon}_2\Gamma_{JK}\epsilon_1X_a^JX_b^K$ .  $O_{\mu\nu}^A=0$  and  $O^{\Psi}=0$  are equations of motions of  $A_{\mu\nu}$  and  $\Psi$ , respectively, where

$$O_{\mu\nu}^{A} = A_{\mu ab}[T^{a}, T^{b}, A_{\nu cd}[T^{c}, T^{d}, ]] - A_{\nu ab}[T^{a}, T^{b}, A_{\mu cd}[T^{c}, T^{d}, ]]$$

$$+ E_{\mu\nu\lambda}(-[X^{I}, A_{ab}^{\lambda}[T^{a}, T^{b}, X_{I}], ] + \frac{i}{2}[\bar{\Psi}, \Gamma^{\lambda}\Psi, ])$$

$$O^{\Psi} = -\Gamma^{\mu}A_{\mu ab}[T^{a}, T^{b}, \Psi] + \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{IJ}[X^{I}, X^{J}, \Psi].$$
(2.4)

(2.3) implies that a commutation relation between the dynamical supersymmetry transformations is

$$\delta_2 \delta_1 - \delta_1 \delta_2 = 0, \tag{2.5}$$

up to the equations of motions and the gauge transformations.

Lie 3-algebra with an invariant metric is classified into four-dimensional Euclidean  $\mathcal{A}_4$  algebra and Lie 3-algebras with indefinite metrics in [16–18, 28, 29]. We do not choose  $\mathcal{A}_4$  algebra because its degrees of freedom are just four. We need an algebra with arbitrary dimensions N, which is taken to infinity to define M-theory. Here we choose the most simple indefinite metric Lie 3-algebra, so called Lorentzian Lie 3-algebra associated with u(N) Lie algebra,

$$[T^{-1}, T^{a}, T^{b}] = 0$$

$$[T^{0}, T^{i}, T^{j}] = [T^{i}, T^{j}] = f^{ij}_{k} T^{k}$$

$$[T^{i}, T^{j}, T^{k}] = f^{ijk} T^{-1}, \qquad (2.6)$$

where a=-1,0,i  $(i=1,\cdots,N^2)$ .  $T^i$  are generators of u(N). A metric is defined by a symmetric bilinear form,

$$\langle T^{-1}, T^0 \rangle = -1$$
 (2.7)

$$\langle T^i, T^j \rangle = h^{ij}, (2.8)$$

and the other components are 0. The action is decomposed as

$$S = \text{Tr}(-\frac{1}{4}(x_0^K)^2[x^I, x^J]^2 + \frac{1}{2}(x_0^I[x_I, x^J])^2 - \frac{1}{2}(x_0^Ib_\mu + [a_\mu, x^I])^2 - \frac{1}{2}E^{\mu\nu\lambda}b_\mu[a_\nu, a_\lambda]$$
$$+i\bar{\psi}_0\Gamma^\mu b_\mu \psi - \frac{i}{2}\bar{\psi}\Gamma^\mu[a_\mu, \psi] + \frac{i}{2}x_0^I\bar{\psi}\Gamma_{IJ}[x^J, \psi] - \frac{i}{2}\bar{\psi}_0\Gamma_{IJ}[x^I, x^J]\psi), \quad (2.9)$$

where we have renamed  $X_0^I \to x_0^I$ ,  $X_i^I T^i \to x^I$ ,  $\Psi_0 \to \psi_0$ ,  $\Psi_i T^i \to \psi$ ,  $2A_{\mu 0i} T^i \to a_\mu$ , and  $A_{\mu ij}[T^i, T^j] \to b_\mu$ . In this action,  $T^{-1}$  mode;  $X_{-1}^I$ ,  $\Psi_{-1}$  or  $A_{-1a}^\mu$  does not appear, that is they are unphysical modes. Therefore, the indefinite part of the metric (2.7) does not exist in the action and our model is ghost-free like a model in [30]. This action can be obtained by a dimensional reduction of the three-dimensional  $\mathcal{N}=8$  BLG model [13–15] with the same 3-algebra. The BLG model possesses a ghost mode because of its kinetic terms with indefinite signature. On the other hand, our model does not possess a kinetic term because it is defined as a zero-dimensional field theory like IIB matrix model [2].

This action is invariant under the translation

$$\delta x^I = \eta^I, \qquad \delta a^\mu = \eta^\mu, \tag{2.10}$$

where  $\eta^I$  and  $\eta^\mu$  belong to u(1). This implies that eigen values of  $x^I$  and  $a^\mu$  represent an eleven-dimensional space-time.

The action is also invariant under 16 kinematical supersymmetry transformations

$$\tilde{\delta}\psi = \tilde{\epsilon},\tag{2.11}$$

and the other fields are not transformed.  $\tilde{\epsilon}$  belong to u(1) and satisfy  $\Gamma_{012}\tilde{\epsilon}=\tilde{\epsilon}$ .  $\tilde{\epsilon}$  and  $\epsilon$  should come from 16 components of 32  $\mathcal{N}=1$  supersymmetry parameters in eleven dimensions, corresponding to eigen values  $\pm 1$  of  $\Gamma_{012}$ , respectively, as in the case of the semi-light-cone supermembrane. Its target-space  $\mathcal{N}=1$  supersymmetry consists of remaining 16 target-space supersymmetries and transmuted 16  $\kappa$ -symmetries in the semi-light-cone gauge,  $\Gamma_{012}\Psi=\Psi$  [3,31,32].

A commutation relation between the kinematical supersymmetry transformations is given by

$$\tilde{\delta}_2 \tilde{\delta}_1 - \tilde{\delta}_1 \tilde{\delta}_2 = 0. \tag{2.12}$$

The 16 dynamical supersymmetry transformations (2.2) are decomposed as

$$\delta x^{I}_{0} = i\bar{\epsilon}\Gamma^{I}\psi$$

$$\delta x^{I}_{0} = i\bar{\epsilon}\Gamma^{I}\psi_{0}$$

$$\delta x^{I}_{-1} = i\bar{\epsilon}\Gamma^{I}\psi_{-1}$$

$$\delta \psi = -(b_{\mu}x^{I}_{0} + [a_{\mu}, x^{I}])\Gamma^{\mu}\Gamma_{I}\epsilon - \frac{1}{2}x^{I}_{0}[x^{J}, x^{K}]\Gamma_{IJK}\epsilon$$

$$\delta \psi_{0} = 0$$

$$\delta \psi_{-1} = -\text{Tr}(b_{\mu}x^{I})\Gamma^{\mu}\Gamma_{I}\epsilon - \frac{1}{6}\text{Tr}([x^{I}, x^{J}]x^{K})\Gamma_{IJK}\epsilon$$

$$\delta a_{\mu} = i\bar{\epsilon}\Gamma_{\mu}\Gamma_{I}(x^{I}_{0}\psi - \psi_{0}x^{I})$$

$$\delta b_{\mu} = i\bar{\epsilon}\Gamma_{\mu}\Gamma_{I}[x^{I}, \psi]$$

$$\delta A_{\mu-1i} = i\bar{\epsilon}\Gamma_{\mu}\Gamma_{I}\frac{1}{2}(x^{I}_{-1}\psi_{i} - \psi_{-1}x^{I}_{i})$$

$$\delta A_{\mu-10} = i\bar{\epsilon}\Gamma_{\mu}\Gamma_{I}\frac{1}{2}(x^{I}_{-1}\psi_{0} - \psi_{-1}x^{I}_{0}),$$
(2.13)

and thus a commutator of dynamical supersymmetry transformations and kinematical ones acts as

$$(\tilde{\delta}_{2}\delta_{1} - \delta_{1}\tilde{\delta}_{2})x^{I} = i\bar{\epsilon}_{1}\Gamma^{I}\tilde{\epsilon}_{2} \equiv \eta^{I}$$

$$(\tilde{\delta}_{2}\delta_{1} - \delta_{1}\tilde{\delta}_{2})a^{\mu} = i\bar{\epsilon}_{1}\Gamma^{\mu}\Gamma_{I}x_{0}^{I}\tilde{\epsilon}_{2} \equiv \eta^{\mu}$$

$$(\tilde{\delta}_{2}\delta_{1} - \delta_{1}\tilde{\delta}_{2})A_{-1i}^{\mu}T^{i} = \frac{1}{2}i\bar{\epsilon}_{1}\Gamma^{\mu}\Gamma_{I}x_{-1}^{I}\tilde{\epsilon}_{2},$$

$$(2.14)$$

where the commutator that acts on the other fields vanishes. Thus, the commutation relation for physical modes is given by

$$\tilde{\delta}_2 \delta_1 - \delta_1 \tilde{\delta}_2 = \delta_n, \tag{2.15}$$

where  $\delta_{\eta}$  is a translation.

If we change a basis of the supersymmetry transformations as

$$\delta' = \delta + \tilde{\delta}$$

$$\tilde{\delta}' = i(\delta - \tilde{\delta}), \tag{2.16}$$

we obtain

$$\delta'_{2}\delta'_{1} - \delta'_{1}\delta'_{2} = \delta_{\eta}$$

$$\tilde{\delta}'_{2}\tilde{\delta}'_{1} - \tilde{\delta}'_{1}\tilde{\delta}'_{2} = \delta_{\eta}$$

$$\tilde{\delta}'_{2}\delta'_{1} - \delta'_{1}\tilde{\delta}'_{2} = 0.$$
(2.17)

These 32 supersymmetry transformations are summarised as  $\Delta = (\delta', \tilde{\delta}')$  and (2.17) implies the  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  supersymmetry algebra in eleven dimensions,

$$\Delta_2 \Delta_1 - \Delta_1 \Delta_2 = \delta_{\eta}. \tag{2.18}$$

# 3 DLCQ limit

In this section, we will take a DLCQ limit of our model and obtain BFSS matrix theory with finite size matrices as desired.

First, we separate the auxiliary fields  $b^{\mu}$  from  $A^{\mu}$  and define  $X^{\mu}$  by

$$A^{\mu} = X^{\mu} + b^{\mu}. \tag{3.1}$$

We identify space-time coordinate matrices by redefining matrices as follows. By rescaling the eight matrices as

$$X^{I} = \frac{1}{T}X^{\prime I}$$

$$X^{\mu} = X^{\prime \mu}, \tag{3.2}$$

we adjust the scale of  $X^I$  to that of  $X^{\mu}$ . T is a real parameter. Next, we redefine fields so as to keep the scale of nine matrices:

$$X'^{p} = X''^{p}$$

$$X'^{i} = X''^{i}$$

$$X'^{0} = \frac{1}{T}X''^{0}$$

$$X'^{10} = \frac{1}{T}X''^{10}$$
(3.3)

where p = 1, 2 and  $i = 3, \dots, 9$ . We also redefine the auxiliary fields as

$$b^{\mu} = \frac{1}{T^2} b^{\prime\prime\prime\mu}.\tag{3.4}$$

DLCQ limit of M-theory consists of a light-cone compactification,  $x^- \approx x^- + 2\pi R$ , where  $x^{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x^{10} \pm x^0)$ , and Lorentz boost in  $x^{10}$  direction with an infinite momentum. We define light-cone coordinates as

$$X''^{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(X^{+} - X^{-})$$

$$X''^{10} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(X^{+} + X^{-})$$
(3.5)

We treat  $b'''^{\mu}$  as scalars. A matrix compactification [33] on a circle with a radius R imposes following conditions on  $X^-$  and the other matrices Y, which represent  $X^+$ ,  $X''^p$ ,  $X''^i$ ,  $b'''^{\mu}$ , and  $\Psi$ :

$$X^{-} - (2\pi R)\mathbf{1} = U^{\dagger}X^{-}U$$

$$Y = U^{\dagger}YU,$$
(3.6)

where U is a unitary matrix. After the compactification, we cannot redefine fields freely. A solution to (3.6) is given by  $X^- = \bar{X}^- + \tilde{X}^-$ ,  $Y = \tilde{Y}$  and

$$U = \tilde{U} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\text{Lorentzian}},$$
 (3.7)

where U(N) part is given by,

$$\tilde{U} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 \\ 0 & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{n \times n}. \tag{3.8}$$

A background  $\bar{X}^-$  is

$$\bar{X}^{-} = -T^{3}\bar{x}_{0}^{-}T^{0} - (2\pi R)\operatorname{diag}(\cdots, s - 1, s, s + 1, \cdots) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{n \times n}, \tag{3.9}$$

and a fluctuation  $\tilde{x}$  that represents u(N) parts of  $\tilde{X}^-$  and  $\tilde{Y}$  is

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{x}(0) & \tilde{x}(1) & \cdots \\
\tilde{x}(-1) & \ddots & \ddots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \tilde{x}(1) \\
& \tilde{x}(-1) & \tilde{x}(0)
\end{pmatrix}.$$
(3.10)

Each  $\tilde{x}(s)$  is a  $n \times n$  matrix, where s is an integer. That is, the (s, t)-th block is given by  $\tilde{x}_{s,t} = \tilde{x}(s-t)$ .

We make a Fourier transformation,

$$\tilde{x}(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi\tilde{R}} \int_0^{2\pi\tilde{R}} d\tau x(\tau) e^{is\frac{\tau}{\tilde{R}}},\tag{3.11}$$

where  $x(\tau)$  is a  $n \times n$  matrix in one-dimension and  $R\tilde{R} = 2\pi$ . From (3.9), (3.10) and (3.11), the following identities hold:

$$\sum_{t} \tilde{x}_{s,t} \tilde{x'}_{t,u} = \frac{1}{2\pi \tilde{R}} \int_{0}^{2\pi \tilde{R}} d\tau \, x(\tau) x'(\tau) e^{i(s-u)\frac{\tau}{\tilde{R}}}$$

$$\operatorname{tr}(\sum_{s,t} \tilde{x}_{s,t} \tilde{x'}_{t,s}) = V \frac{1}{2\pi \tilde{R}} \int_{0}^{2\pi \tilde{R}} d\tau \, \operatorname{tr}(x(\tau) x'(\tau))$$

$$[\bar{x}^{-}, \tilde{x}]_{s,t} = \frac{1}{2\pi \tilde{R}} \int_{0}^{2\pi \tilde{R}} d\tau \, \partial_{\tau} x(\tau) e^{i(s-t)\frac{\tau}{\tilde{R}}},$$
(3.12)

where tr is a trace over  $n \times n$  matrices and  $V = \sum_{s} 1$ . We will use these identities later.

Next, let us boost the system in  $x^{10}$  direction:

$$\tilde{X}^{+} = \frac{1}{T}\tilde{X}^{""+}$$

$$\tilde{X}^{-} = T\tilde{X}^{""-}$$

$$\tilde{X}^{"p} = \tilde{X}^{""p}$$

$$\tilde{X}^{"i} = \tilde{X}^{""i}.$$
(3.13)

IMF limit is achieved when  $T \to \infty$ . The second equation implies that  $X^- = -T^3 \bar{x}_0^- T^0 + TX'''^-$ , where  $X'''^- = \bar{X}'''^- + \tilde{X}'''^-$  and  $\bar{X}'''^- = -(2\pi R') \mathrm{diag}(\cdots, s-1, s, s+1, \cdots) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{n \times n}$ .  $R' = \frac{1}{T}R$  goes to zero when  $T \to \infty$ . To keep supersymmetry, the fermionic fields need to behave as

$$\Psi = \frac{1}{T}\Psi'''. \tag{3.14}$$

To summarize, relations between the original fields and the fixed fields when  $T \to \infty$  are

$$a^{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{1}{T^{2}} x'''' - x'''^{-} \right)$$

$$a^{p} = x'''^{p}$$

$$x^{i} = \frac{1}{T} x'''^{i}$$

$$x^{10} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{1}{T^{3}} x'''' + \frac{1}{T} x'''^{-} \right)$$

$$x_{0}^{i} = \frac{1}{T} x_{0}'''^{i}$$

$$x_{0}^{10} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{1}{T^{3}} x_{0}'''' + \frac{1}{T} x_{0}'''^{-} \right) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} T \bar{x}_{0}^{-}$$

$$b^{\mu} = \frac{1}{T^{2}} b'''^{\mu}$$

$$\psi = \frac{1}{T} \psi'''$$

$$\psi_{0} = \frac{1}{T} \psi_{0}'''. \tag{3.15}$$

By using these relations, equations of motion of the auxiliary fields  $b^{\mu}$ ,

$$b^{\mu} = \frac{1}{(x_0^I)^2} (-x_0^I [a^{\mu}, x_I] - \frac{1}{2} E^{\mu\nu\lambda} [a_{\nu}, a_{\lambda}] + i\bar{\psi}_0 \Gamma^{\mu} \psi)$$
 (3.16)

are rewritten as

$$b'''^{0} = -\frac{2}{(\bar{x}_{0}^{-})^{2}} [x'''^{1}, x'''^{2}] + O(\frac{1}{T})$$

$$b'''^{1} = (-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{(\bar{x}_{0}^{-})^{2}} [x'''^{2}, x'''^{-}] + \frac{1}{\bar{x}_{0}^{-}} [x'''^{1}, x'''^{-}]) + O(\frac{1}{T})$$

$$b'''^{2} = (\frac{\sqrt{2}}{(\bar{x}_{0}^{-})^{2}} [x'''^{1}, x'''^{-}] + \frac{1}{\bar{x}_{0}^{-}} [x'''^{2}, x'''^{-}]) + O(\frac{1}{T}).$$
(3.17)

If we substitute them and (3.15) to the action (2.9), we obtain

$$S = \frac{1}{T^{2}} \text{Tr}(\frac{1}{2(\bar{x}_{0}^{-})^{2}} [x'''^{-}, x'''^{p}]^{2} + \frac{1}{4} [x'''^{-}, x'''^{i}]^{2} - \frac{1}{2(\bar{x}_{0}^{-})^{2}} [x'''^{p}, x'''^{q}]^{2} - \frac{1}{2} [x'''^{p}, x'''^{i}]^{2} - \frac{(\bar{x}_{0}^{-})^{2}}{8} [x'''^{i}, x'''^{j}]^{2} - \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} \bar{\psi}''' \Gamma^{0}[x'''^{-}, \psi'''] - \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi}''' \Gamma^{p}[x'''^{p}, \psi'''] - \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} \bar{x}_{0}^{-} \bar{\psi}''' \Gamma_{10i}[x'''^{i}, \psi''']) + O(\frac{1}{T^{3}}).$$
 (3.18)

Therefore, the action reduces to

$$\hat{S} = \frac{1}{T^2} \text{Tr}(\frac{1}{2(\bar{x}_0^-)^2} [x'''^-, x'''^p]^2 + \frac{1}{4} [x'''^-, x'''^i]^2 - \frac{1}{2(\bar{x}_0^-)^2} [x'''^p, x'''^q]^2 - \frac{1}{2} [x'''^p, x'''^i]^2 - \frac{(\bar{x}_0^-)^2}{8} [x'''^i, x'''^j]^2 - \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} \bar{\psi}''' \Gamma^0 [x'''^-, \psi'''] - \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi}''' \Gamma^p [x'''^p, \psi'''] - \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} \bar{x}_0^- \bar{\psi}''' \Gamma_{10i} [x'''^i, \psi'''])$$

$$(3.19)$$

in  $T \to \infty$  limit. By redefining

$$x'''^{i} \to \frac{2^{\frac{1}{4}}\sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{\bar{x}_{0}^{-}}}x'''^{i}$$

$$x'''^{p} \to \frac{\sqrt{\bar{x}_{0}^{-}T}}{2^{\frac{1}{4}}}x'''^{p}$$

$$x'''^{-} \to 2^{\frac{1}{4}}\sqrt{\bar{x}_{0}^{-}T}x'''^{-}$$

$$\psi''' \to \frac{2^{\frac{1}{8}}T^{\frac{3}{4}}}{(\bar{x}_{0}^{-})^{\frac{1}{4}}}\psi''',$$
(3.20)

we obtain

$$S = \text{Tr}(\frac{1}{2}[x'''^{-}, x'''^{I}]^{2} - \frac{1}{4}[x'''^{I}, x'''^{J}]^{2} - \frac{i}{2}\bar{\psi}'''\Gamma^{0}[x'''^{-}, \psi'''] - \frac{i}{2}\bar{\psi}'''\Gamma^{p}[x'''_{p}, \psi'''] - \frac{i}{2}\bar{\psi}'''\Gamma^{10i}[x'''_{i}, \psi'''].$$

$$(3.21)$$

The background in  $x'''^-$  is modified, where  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}R' \to R'$ . By using the identities (3.12), we can rewrite (3.21) and obtain the action of BFSS matrix theory with finite n,

$$S = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau \operatorname{tr}(\frac{1}{2}(D_0 x^I)^2 - \frac{1}{4}[x^I, x^J]^2 + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}\Gamma^0 D_0 \psi - \frac{i}{2}\bar{\psi}\Gamma^p[x_p, \psi] - \frac{i}{2}\bar{\psi}\Gamma^{10i}[x_i, \psi]). \quad (3.22)$$

We have used  $\tilde{R}' = \infty$  because  $R' \to 0$  when  $T \to \infty$ . In DLCQ limit of our model, we see that  $X^-$  disappears and  $X^+$  changes to  $\tau$  as in the case of the light-cone gauge fixing of the membrane theory.

The way to take DLCQ limit (3.2) - (3.15) is essentially the same as in the case of the Hermitian model [3] because the limit realizes the "novel Higgs mechanism" [34].

### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank K. Hashimoto, H. Kawai, K. Murakami, F. Sugino, A. Tsuchiya and K. Yoshida for valuable discussions.

### References

- T. Banks, W. Fischler, S.H. Shenker, L. Susskind, "M Theory As A Matrix Model: A Conjecture," Phys. Rev. **D55** (1997) 5112, hep-th/9610043.
- [2] N. Ishibashi, H. Kawai, Y. Kitazawa, A. Tsuchiya, "A Large-N Reduced Model as Superstring," Nucl. Phys. B498 (1997) 467, hep-th/9612115.

- [3] M. Sato, "Model of M-theory with Eleven Matrices," JHEP**1007** (2010) 026, arXiv:1003.4694 [hep-th]
- [4] Y. Nambu, "Generalized Hamiltonian dynamics," Phys. Rev. D7: 2405, 1973.
- [5] H. Awata, M. Li, D. Minic, T. Yoneya, "On the Quantization of Nambu Brackets," JHEP 0102 (2001) 013, hep-th/9906248.
- [6] O. Aharony, O. Bergman, D. L. Jafferis, J. Maldacena, "N=6 superconformal Chern-Simons-matter theories, M2-branes and their gravity duals," JHEP 0810: 091, 2008, arXiv:0806.1218 [hep-th]
- [7] J. Bagger, N. Lambert, "Three-Algebras and N=6 Chern-Simons Gauge Theories," Phys. Rev. **D79**: 025002, 2009, arXiv:0807.0163 [hep-th]
- [8] P. de Medeiros, J. Figueroa-O'Farrill, E. Me'ndez-Escobar, P. Ritter, "On the Lie-algebraic origin of metric 3-algebras," Commun. Math. Phys. 290 (2009) 871, arXiv:0809.1086 [hep-th]
- [9] S. A. Cherkis, V. Dotsenko, C. Saeman, "On Superspace Actions for Multiple M2-Branes, Metric 3-Algebras and their Classification," Phys. Rev. D79 (2009) 086002, arXiv:0812.3127 [hep-th]
- [10] V. T. Filippov, "n-Lie algebras," Sib. Mat. Zh., 26, No. 6, 126140 (1985)
- [11] N. Kamiya, "A structure theory of Freudenthal-Kantor triple systems," J. Algebra 110 (1987) 108
- [12] S. Okubo, N. Kamiya "Quasi-classical Lie superalgebras and Lie supertriple systems," Comm. Algebra **30** (2002), no. 8, 3825
- [13] J. Bagger, N. Lambert, "Modeling Multiple M2's," Phys. Rev. D75: 045020, 2007, hep-th/0611108.
- [14] A. Gustavsson, "Algebraic structures on parallel M2-branes," Nucl. Phys. B811: 66, 2009, arXiv:0709.1260 [hep-th].

- [15] J. Bagger, N. Lambert, "Gauge Symmetry and Supersymmetry of Multiple M2-Branes," Phys. Rev. D77: 065008, 2008, arXiv:0711.0955 [hep-th].
- [16] J. Figueroa-O'Farrill, G. Papadopoulos, "Pluecker-type relations for orthogonal planes,"
   J. Geom. Phys. 49 (2004) 294, math/0211170
- [17] G. Papadopoulos, "M2-branes, 3-Lie Algebras and Plucker relations," JHEP **0805** (2008) 054, arXiv:0804.2662 [hep-th]
- [18] J. P. Gauntlett, J. B. Gutowski, "Constraining Maximally Supersymmetric Membrane Actions," JHEP 0806 (2008) 053, arXiv:0804.3078 [hep-th]
- [19] J. Gomis, G. Milanesi, J. G. Russo, "Bagger-Lambert Theory for General Lie Algebras," JHEP **0806**: 075, 2008, arXiv:0805.1012 [hep-th].
- [20] S. Benvenuti, D. Rodriguez-Gomez, E. Tonni, H. Verlinde, "N=8 superconformal gauge theories and M2 branes," JHEP **0901**: 078, 2009, arXiv:0805.1087 [hep-th].
- [21] P.-M. Ho, Y. Imamura, Y. Matsuo, "M2 to D2 revisited," JHEP 0807: 003, 2008, arXiv:0805.1202 [hep-th].
- [22] M. A. Bandres, A. E. Lipstein, J. H. Schwarz, "Ghost-Free Superconformal Action for Multiple M2-Branes," JHEP 0807: 117, 2008, arXiv:0806.0054 [hep-th]
- [23] J. DeBellis, C. Saemann, R. J. Szabo, "Quantized Nambu-Poisson Manifolds in a 3-Lie Algebra Reduced Model," arXiv:1012.2236 [hep-th]
- [24] L. Susskind, "Another Conjecture about M(atrix) Theory," hep-th/9704080
- [25] A. Sen, "D0 Branes on  $T^n$  and Matrix Theory," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 51 (1998), hep-th/9709220
- [26] N. Seiberg, "Why is the Matrix Model Correct?," Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 3577 (1997), hep-th/9710009
- [27] J. Polchinski, "M-Theory and the Light Cone," Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 134 (1999) 158, hep-th/9903165

- [28] P.-M. Ho, Y. Matsuo, S. Shiba, "Lorentzian Lie (3-)algebra and toroidal compactification of M/string theory," arXiv:0901.2003 [hep-th]
- [29] P. de Medeiros, J. Figueroa-O'Farrill, E. Mendez-Escobar, P. Ritter, "Metric 3-Lie algebras for unitary Bagger-Lambert theories," JHEP 0904: 037, 2009, arXiv:0902.4674 [hep-th]
- [30] M. Sato, "Covariant Formulation of M-Theory," Int. J. Mod. Phys. A24 (2009), 5019, arXiv:0902.1333 [hep-th]
- [31] B. de Wit, J. Hoppe, H. Nicolai, "On the Quantum Mechanics of Supermembranes," Nucl. Phys. B305: 545, 1988.
- [32] T. Banks, N. Seiberg, S. Shenker, "Branes from Matrices," Nucl. Phys. B490: 91, 1997, hep-th/9612157
- [33] W. Taylor, "D-brane field theory on compact spaces," Phys. Lett. B394 (1997) 283, hep-th/9611042
- [34] S. Mukhi, C. Papageorgakis, "M2 to D2," JHEP **0805**: 085, 2008, arXiv:0803.3218 [hep-th].